

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,108	08/01/2003	Tsuyoshi Yuki	103176-00003	3473
4372 7590 07/31/2007 ARENT FOX PLLC			EXAMINER	
1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.			GOLOBOY, JAMES C	
SUITE 400 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1714	
	•	· .		
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/632,108	YUKI ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
James Goloboy	1714

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 7/3/17 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires _____months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) X The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed. may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **NOTICE OF APPEAL** 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below): (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. To rourposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected to. ____.
Claim(s) rejected: 1-5,7-12 and 14-23. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See attached sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: ____.

Art Unit: 1714

Attachment to Advisory Action

- 1. The amended claims would be rejected as obvious over Yuki '993 for the reasons set forth in paragraph 4 of the office action mailed 5/14/07.
- 2. Applicant has submitted a Rule 132 declaration dated 7/10/07. This declaration, and the two previous declarations filed 8/31/06 and 12/6/06, purport to show that the claimed copolymer exhibits unexpectedly superior performance compared to that of Yuki '993. Applicant argues that the compositions in the declarations are representative of those recited in claim 1.

While the examiner appreciates the impracticability of demonstrating unexpected results for every monomer within the scope of claim 1c, it is noted that on pages 5-7 of the specification, applicant has exemplified 11 distinct subclasses of monomers (c11-c16, c211-c212, c22, c31-c32), each of which contain numerous examples of monomers suitable for use as the monomer of claim 1c. The results submitted in the declarations of 8/31/06, 12/6/06, and 7/10/07 do not provide evidence of unexpected results for the majority of these subclasses

Additionally, the alleged unexpected results arise from a comparison of compositions comprising at least 6% by weight of the monomer of claim 1c with compositions comprising 4% by weight of the monomer. An affidavit or declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 must compare the claimed subject matter with the closest prior art to be effective to rebut a prima facie case of obviousness. See MPEP 716.02(e). Yuki

Application/Control Number: 10/632,108

Art Unit: 1714

Page 3

'993 teaches that the copolymer can contain up to 20%, preferably up to 10% by weight of the unsaturated monomer corresponding to the monomer of claim 1c. Applicant has not shown unexpected results relative to copolymers with these concentrations of the

unsaturated monomer.

Janes C. Colday JCG

> /Vasu Jagannathan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700